

## GEPHE SUMMARY

		Gephebase Gene		GepheID
amelogenin (AMEL) ( <a href="https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Gene+Gephebase+^amelogenin+(AMEL)^#gephebase-summary-title">https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Gene+Gephebase+^amelogenin+(AMEL)^#gephebase-summary-title</a> )			GP00001933	
Published		Entry Status	Courtier	Main curator

## PHENOTYPIC CHANGE

		Trait Category	
Physiology ( <a href="https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Trait+Category+^Physiology^#gephebase-summary-title">https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Trait+Category+^Physiology^#gephebase-summary-title</a> )			
		Trait	
Tooth absence (no enamel production) ( <a href="https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Trait+^Tooth+absence+(no+enamel+production)^#gephebase-summary-title">https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Trait+^Tooth+absence+(no+enamel+production)^#gephebase-summary-title</a> )			
presence of teeth		Trait State in Taxon A	
absence of teeth		Trait State in Taxon B	
		Ancestral State	
Taxon A			
		Taxonomic Status	
Intergenic or Higher ( <a href="https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Taxonomic+Status+^Intergenic+or+Higher^#gephebase-summary-title">https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Taxonomic+Status+^Intergenic+or+Higher^#gephebase-summary-title</a> )			
Taxon A		Taxon B	
		Latin Name	
Paleosuchus palpebrosus ( <a href="https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Taxon+and+Synonyms+^Paleosuchus+palpebrosus^#gephebase-summary-title">https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Taxon+and+Synonyms+^Paleosuchus+palpebrosus^#gephebase-summary-title</a> )		Gallus gallus ( <a href="https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Taxon+and+Synonyms+^Gallus+gallus^#gephebase-summary-title">https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Taxon+and+Synonyms+^Gallus+gallus^#gephebase-summary-title</a> )	
		Common Name	
Cuvier's dwarf caiman		chicken	
		Synonyms	
Cuvier's dwarf caiman; MNHN 7530; MNHN:7530		Gallus gallus domesticus; chicken; bantam; chickens	
		Rank	
species		species	
		Lineage	
cellular organisms; Eukaryota; Opisthokonta; Metazoa; Eumetazoa; Bilateria; Deuterostomia; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Gnathostomata; Teleostomi; Euteleostomi; Sarcopterygii; Dipnotetrapodomorpha; Tetrapoda; Amniota; Sauropsida; Sauria; Archelosauria; Archosauria; Crocodylia; Alligatoridae; Caimaninae; Paleosuchus		cellular organisms; Eukaryota; Opisthokonta; Metazoa; Eumetazoa; Bilateria; Deuterostomia; Chordata; Craniata; Vertebrata; Gnathostomata; Teleostomi; Euteleostomi; Sarcopterygii; Dipnotetrapodomorpha; Tetrapoda; Amniota; Sauropsida; Sauria; Archelosauria; Archosauria; Dinosauria; Saurischia; Theropoda; Coelurosauria; Aves; Neognathae; Galloanserae; Galliformes; Phasianidae; Phasianinae; Gallus	
		Parent	
Paleosuchus () - (Rank: genus) ( <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi?id=38657">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi?id=38657</a> )		Gallus () - (Rank: genus) ( <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi?id=9030">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi?id=9030</a> )	
		NCBI Taxonomy ID	
84099 ( <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi?id=84099">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi?id=84099</a> )		9031 ( <a href="https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi?id=9031">https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi?id=9031</a> )	
		is Taxon A an Intraspecies?	
No		No	
		is Taxon B an Intraspecies?	

## GENOTYPIC CHANGE

		Generic Gene Name		UniProtKB Mus musculus
Amelx			P63277 ( <a href="http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/P63277">http://www.uniprot.org/uniprot/P63277</a> )	
		Synonyms		GenebankID or UniProtKB
Amg; ALGN; AMGL; AMGX; Amel; LRAP; Rgsc888		()		
		String		
10090.ENSMUSP00000065966 ( <a href="http://string-db.org/newstring.cgi/show_network_section.pl?identifier=10090.ENSMUSP00000065966">http://string-db.org/newstring.cgi/show_network_section.pl?identifier=10090.ENSMUSP00000065966</a> )				
		Sequence Similarities		
Belongs to the amelogenin family.				
		GO - Molecular Function		
GO:0042802 : identical protein binding ( <a href="https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0042802">https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0042802</a> )				
GO:0042803 : protein homodimerization activity ( <a href="https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0042803">https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0042803</a> )				
GO:0008083 : growth factor activity ( <a href="https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0008083">https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0008083</a> )				
GO:0005509 : calcium ion binding ( <a href="https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0005509">https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0005509</a> )				

GO:0031402 : sodium ion binding (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0031402>)  
 GO:0046848 : hydroxyapatite binding  
 (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0046848>)  
 GO:0030345 : structural constituent of tooth enamel  
 (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0030345>)

GO - Biological Process

GO:0007155 : cell adhesion (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0007155>)  
 GO:0007165 : signal transduction (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0007165>)  
 GO:0042475 : odontogenesis of dentin-containing tooth  
 (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0042475>)  
 GO:0051260 : protein homooligomerization  
 (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0051260>)  
 GO:0042127 : regulation of cell proliferation  
 (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0042127>)  
 GO:0034505 : tooth mineralization (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0034505>)

GO - Cellular Component

GO:0005604 : basement membrane (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0005604>)  
 GO:0032991 : protein-containing complex  
 (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0032991>)  
 GO:0009986 : cell surface (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0009986>)  
 GO:0099080 : supramolecular complex  
 (<https://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/term/GO:0099080>)

Mutation #1

Yes ([https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Presumptive Null=~Yes~#gephebase-summary-title](https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Presumptive+Null=~Yes~#gephebase-summary-title))

Presumptive Null

Coding ([https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Molecular Type=~Coding~#gephebase-summary-title](https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Molecular+Type=~Coding~#gephebase-summary-title))

Molecular Type

Insertion ([https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Aberration Type=~Insertion~#gephebase-summary-title](https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Aberration+Type=~Insertion~#gephebase-summary-title))

Aberration Type

1-9 bp

Insertion Size

synteny of the corresponding region - two 2-bp insertions in exon 2 leading to a reading frameshift which changes the amino acids in the N-terminal region and results in a premature stop codon in exon 6

Molecular Details of the Mutation

Candidate Gene ([https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Experimental Evidence=~Candidate Gene~#gephebase-summary-title](https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Experimental+Evidence=~Candidate+Gene~#gephebase-summary-title))

Experimental Evidence

Hen's teeth with enamel cap: from dream to impossibility. (2008) (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18775069>)

Main Reference

Sire JY; Delgado SC; Giron dot M

Authors

The ability to form teeth was lost in an ancestor of all modern birds, approximately 100-80 million years ago. However, experiments in chicken have revealed that the oral epithelium can respond to inductive signals from mouse mesenchyme, leading to reactivation of the odontogenic pathway. Recently, tooth germs similar to crocodilian rudimentary teeth were found in a chicken mutant. These "chicken teeth" did not develop further, but the question remains whether functional teeth with enamel cap would have been obtained if the experiments had been carried out over a longer time period or if the chicken mutants had survived. The next odontogenetic step would have been tooth differentiation, involving deposition of dental proteins.

Abstract

Using bioinformatics, we assessed the fate of the four dental proteins thought to be specific to enamel (amelogenin, AMEL; ameloblastin, AMBN; enamelin, ENAM) and to dentin (dentin sialophosphoprotein, DSPP) in the chicken genome. Conservation of gene synteny in amniotes allowed definition of target DNA regions in which we searched for sequence similarity. We found the full-length chicken AMEL and the only N-terminal region of DSPP, and both are invalidated genes. AMBN and ENAM disappeared after chromosomal rearrangements occurred in the candidate region in a bird ancestor.

These findings not only imply that functional teeth with enamel covering, as present in ancestral Aves, will never be obtained in birds, but they also indicate that these four protein genes were dental specific, at least in the last toothed ancestor of modern birds, a specificity which has been questioned in recent years.

Additional References

Mutation #2

Yes ([https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Presumptive Null=~Yes~#gephebase-summary-title](https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Presumptive+Null=~Yes~#gephebase-summary-title))

Presumptive Null

Coding ([https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Molecular Type=~Coding~#gephebase-summary-title](https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Molecular+Type=~Coding~#gephebase-summary-title))

Molecular Type

Insertion ([https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Aberration Type=~Insertion~#gephebase-summary-title](https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Aberration+Type=~Insertion~#gephebase-summary-title))

Aberration Type

1-9 bp

Insertion Size

synteny of the corresponding region - two 2-bp insertions in exon 2 leading to a reading frameshift which changes the amino acids in the N-terminal region and results in a premature stop codon in exon 6

Molecular Details of the Mutation

Candidate Gene ([https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Experimental Evidence=~Candidate Gene~#gephebase-summary-title](https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Experimental+Evidence=~Candidate+Gene~#gephebase-summary-title))

Experimental Evidence

Hen's teeth with enamel cap: from dream to impossibility. (2008) (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18775069>)

Main Reference

Sire JY; Delgado SC; Giron dot M

Authors

Abstract

The ability to form teeth was lost in an ancestor of all modern birds, approximately 100-80 million years ago. However, experiments in chicken have revealed that the oral epithelium can respond to inductive signals from mouse mesenchyme, leading to reactivation of the odontogenic pathway. Recently, tooth germs similar to crocodile rudimentary teeth were found in a chicken mutant. These "chicken teeth" did not develop further, but the question remains whether functional teeth with enamel cap would have been obtained if the experiments had been carried out over a longer time period or if the chicken mutants had survived. The next odontogenetic step would have been tooth differentiation, involving deposition of dental proteins.

Using bioinformatics, we assessed the fate of the four dental proteins thought to be specific to enamel (amelogenin, AMEL; ameloblastin, AMBN; enamelin, ENAM) and to dentin (dentin sialophosphoprotein, DSPP) in the chicken genome. Conservation of gene synteny in amniotes allowed definition of target DNA regions in which we searched for sequence similarity. We found the full-length chicken AMEL and the only N-terminal region of DSPP, and both are invalidated genes. AMBN and ENAM disappeared after chromosomal rearrangements occurred in the candidate region in a bird ancestor.

These findings not only imply that functional teeth with enamel covering, as present in ancestral Aves, will never be obtained in birds, but they also indicate that these four protein genes were dental specific, at least in the last toothed ancestor of modern birds, a specificity which has been questioned in recent years.

Additional References

#### Mutation #3

Yes ([https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Presumptive Null=~Yes~#gephebase-summary-title](https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Presumptive+Null=~Yes~#gephebase-summary-title))

Presumptive Null

Coding ([https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Molecular Type=~Coding~#gephebase-summary-title](https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Molecular+Type=~Coding~#gephebase-summary-title))

Molecular Type

Indel ([https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Aberration Type=~Indel~#gephebase-summary-title](https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Aberration+Type=~Indel~#gephebase-summary-title))

Aberration Type

-

Indel Size

also numerous indels in exon 6

Molecular Details of the Mutation

Candidate Gene ([https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Experimental Evidence=~Candidate Gene~#gephebase-summary-title](https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/and+Experimental+Evidence=~Candidate+Gene~#gephebase-summary-title))

Experimental Evidence

Hen's teeth with enamel cap: from dream to impossibility. (2008) (<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18775069>)

Main Reference

Sire JY; Delgado SC; Girondot M

Authors

The ability to form teeth was lost in an ancestor of all modern birds, approximately 100-80 million years ago. However, experiments in chicken have revealed that the oral epithelium can respond to inductive signals from mouse mesenchyme, leading to reactivation of the odontogenic pathway. Recently, tooth germs similar to crocodile rudimentary teeth were found in a chicken mutant. These "chicken teeth" did not develop further, but the question remains whether functional teeth with enamel cap would have been obtained if the experiments had been carried out over a longer time period or if the chicken mutants had survived. The next odontogenetic step would have been tooth differentiation, involving deposition of dental proteins.

Abstract

Using bioinformatics, we assessed the fate of the four dental proteins thought to be specific to enamel (amelogenin, AMEL; ameloblastin, AMBN; enamelin, ENAM) and to dentin (dentin sialophosphoprotein, DSPP) in the chicken genome. Conservation of gene synteny in amniotes allowed definition of target DNA regions in which we searched for sequence similarity. We found the full-length chicken AMEL and the only N-terminal region of DSPP, and both are invalidated genes. AMBN and ENAM disappeared after chromosomal rearrangements occurred in the candidate region in a bird ancestor.

These findings not only imply that functional teeth with enamel covering, as present in ancestral Aves, will never be obtained in birds, but they also indicate that these four protein genes were dental specific, at least in the last toothed ancestor of modern birds, a specificity which has been questioned in recent years.

Additional References

## RELATED GEPHE

3 (ameloblastin (AMBN), dentin sialophosphoprotein (DSPP), enamelin (ENAM)) ([https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/or+Taxon ID=~84099~/and+Trait=Tooth absence/or+Taxon ID=~9031~/and+Trait=Tooth absence/and+groupHaplotypes=true#gephebase-summary-title](https://www.gephebase.org/search-criteria?/or+Taxon+ID=~84099~/and+Trait=Tooth+absence/or+Taxon+ID=~9031~/and+Trait=Tooth+absence/and+groupHaplotypes=true#gephebase-summary-title))

Related Genes

No matches found.

Related Haplotypes

## EXTERNAL LINKS

## COMMENTS

In zebrafinch AMEL exon 2 there is a deletion of 12 bases and a base substitution leading to a premature stop codon. The AMEL gene mutations in these two bird species indicate that this crucial gene for enamel formation has lost its functional constraints long before the split between Passeriformes and Galliformes (Sire et al unpublished data).

